

Review Criteria – Growth Agenda for Wisconsin Grant Program 2012-13 – UPDATED 20 January 2012

External review panel members will evaluate applications according to the three review criteria listed below. The criteria include questions for consideration that help define them. These considerations are suggestions, and not all will apply to any given proposal. While applicants must address all three review criteria (i.e., alignment, impact, and feasibility), panel reviewers will be asked to address only those questions that are relevant to the proposal being considered.

1) To what extent does the proposed project align with the *Growth Agenda for Wisconsin* and with institutional mission and goals?

How well does the proposed project align with the strategic goals and priorities of the *Growth Agenda for Wisconsin*? Is there sufficient alignment between the proposed project and institutional mission and goals? How important is the proposed project to producing substantive programmatic and/or institution-wide change? To what extent do project deliverables have the potential for producing a broad impact and systemic transformation? Does the proposed project model Inclusive Excellence?

2) What is the impact of the proposed project?

How well does the proposed project demonstrate potential for producing measurable change? How will project success be measured? Are the targeted outcomes significant to the institution? To what extent will proposed project deliverables contribute to institutional transformation? What may be the possible benefits of the proposed project to other UW institutions? How well does the proposed project activity broaden participation of underrepresented groups (e.g., race, ethnicity, gender, disability, geographic distribution, non-traditional students) and/or enhance the infrastructure for student success?

3) How feasible is the proposed project?

How well conceived and organized are the proposed project activities? Is there sufficient access to the human, physical, and financial resources required to carry out planned activities? To what extent has an institutional investment in the proposed project (i.e., internal or external, cash or in-kind) been demonstrated? How well does the proposed project demonstrate the capacity for sustainability and success beyond the grant funding period?

Recommendation:

Through their letters of support, Provosts are encouraged to identify institutional priorities with respect to the *Growth Agenda for Wisconsin*.